|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **College:** | **College of Engineering** |
| **Programme** | **Electrical Engineering** |
| **Course:** | **Applied Control****EE 475** |

**Course Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Institution:  |  Majmaah University  | Date of CR | 22/05/2017 |
| College/ Department | College of Engineering / Electrical Engineering Department |

**A Course Identification and General Information**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Course title:  | Applied Control  | Code | EE 475 | Section | 385 |
| 2. Name of course instructor:  | Dr. Muhammad Zubair | Location: | Yahya Campus |
| 3. Year and semester to which this report applies: | 2016/2017 Second Semester |
| 4. Number of students starting the course?  | 6 | Students completing the course? | 6 |  |
| 5. Course components:  |
|  | Lecture | Tutorial | Laboratory/Studio | Practical | Other | **Total** |
| **Contact****Hours** | 45 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **60** |
| **Credit** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **3** |

**B- Course Delivery:**

**1. Coverage of Planned Program**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Topics Covered** | **Planned** Contact Hours | **Actual** Contact Hours | **Reason for Variations (\*)** |
| Introduction to control systems and their classifications | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Advantages of using feedback in control systems. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Basics of system modeling and analysis. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Examples of applied control systems: speed control system, | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Temperature control system, liquid-level control system. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| State-space models. Derivation of state-space model from transfer function and vice versa. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Time response of state-space model. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Transient response characteristics. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Classifications of industrial controllers. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Automatic controller. | 4 | 4 | No Variations |
| Basics of PID controller. | 4 | 2 | The variations on topics were caused by the cut short of the semester.  |
| PID controller design methods; | 4 | 2 |
| Transducers and actuators; | 4 | 0 |
| Control applications in power systems: turbine-governor control,  | 4 | 0 |
| Control applications in power systems: generator voltage control, and load frequency control. | 4 | 0 |

( \* ) if there is a difference of more than 25% of the hours planned

**2. Consequences of Non-Coverage of Topics**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topics not Fully Covered (if any) | Effected Learning Outcomes | Possible Compensating Action |
| Basics of PID controller. | c | Micro project was cancelled as time was not available. Can be covered in senior design.  |
| PID controller design methods; | c |
| Transducers and actuators; | a | Already covered in EE 307 |
| Control applications in power systems: generator voltage control, and load frequency control. | c | Can be covered in senior design. |
| Control applications in power systems: turbine-governor control,  | c |

**3. Course learning outcome assessment.**

| **List course learning outcomes****By the end of the course, the student will be able to:** | **List methods of assessment for each LO** | **Summary analysis of assessment results for each LO** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **a** | *Analyze feedback of control systems.* | Examination, quiz, assignments | 83.3 %File Attached |
| *Determine state-space models of control systems.* |
| *Classify the industrial and automatic controllers* |
| **c** | *Design a PID controller for applied control systems* | Examination, quiz, assignments | 72.2 %File Attached |
| *Design of state space control system* |
| **e** | *Solve applied control problems using basics of system modeling and analysis* | Examination, quiz, assignments | 83.3 %File Attached |
| *Solve problems related to control applications in power systems.* |

**Summarize any actions you recommend for improving teaching strategies as a result of evaluations in table 3 above.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**4. Effectiveness of Planned Teaching Strategies for Intended Learning Outcomes set out in the Course Specification**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| List Teaching Methods set out in Course Specification | Were TheyEffective? | Difficulties Experienced (if any) in Using the Strategy and Suggested Action to Deal with Those Difficulties. |
| No | Yes |
| Lecture, debate, small group work, whole group and small group discussion, researchactivities, projects, debates, role playing, case studies, memorization and individual presentation |  | x | All of these teaching strategies were helpful to complete the outcomes of the course.  |
| lab demonstrations | x |  | A separate lab course is present in the program so lab demonstration should be removed from the teaching strategies of this course.  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**C. Results**

**1. Distribution of Grades**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LetterGrade | Number ofStudents | StudentPercentage | Analysis of Distribution of Grades |
| **A+** | 1 | 16.67 % | The result is within good uniform distribution.  |
| **A** | 1 | 16.67 % |
| **B+** | 0 | 0 % |
| **B** | 1 | 16.67 % |
| **C+** | 1 | 16.67 % |
| **C** | 1 | 16.67 % |
| **D+** | 0 | 0 % |
| **D** | 1 | 16.67 % |
| **F** | 0 | 0 % |
| DeniedEntry | 0 | 0 % |  |
| In Progress | 0 | 0 % |  |
| Incomplete | 0 | 0 % |  |
| Pass | 6 | 100 % |  |
| Fail | 0 | 0 % |  |
| Withdrawn | 0 | 0 % |  |

**2. Analyze special factors (if any) affecting the results**

|  |
| --- |
| None |

**3. Variations from planned student assessment processes (if any).**

a. Variations (if any) from planned assessment schedule (see Course Specifications)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Variation | Reason |
| Microproject and case study was dropped  | Short Semester |
| Mid Term II were not held  | Short Semester |
|  |  |

b. Variations (if any) from planned assessment processes in Domains of Learning

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Variation | Reason |
| None |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**4. Student Grade Achievement Verification:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Method(s) of Verification | Conclusion |
| Internal grades verification reviewer  | Reviewed  |
| Grades approved by Head of department and the dean of the College of Engineering | Approved |
| Microsoft Excel and Edugate are used for verifications of sum.  | Verified  |

**D. Resources and Facilities**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Difficulties in access to resources or facilities (if any) | Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**E. Administrative Issues**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Organizational or administrative difficulties encountered (if any) | Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course |
| None |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**F. Course Evaluation**

**1. Student evaluation of the course (Attach summary of survey results)**

|  |
| --- |
| a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths* No recommendations.
 |
| b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation* NA

  |

**2. Other Evaluation:**

|  |
| --- |
| a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths |
| b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation: |

**G Planning for Improvement**

**1. Progress on actions proposed for improving the course in previous course reports (if any).**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Actions recommendedfrom the most recent course report(s) | Actions Taken | Action Results | Action Analysis |
| More interactive approaches should be implemented in giving the lectures to enhance the learning of students.  | Interactive teaching methods used | Two way communications achieved with the students.  | SLO e improved as weaker students were able to take the advantage of linking the prerequisites information with the course knowledge |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**2. List what other actions have been taken to improve the course**

|  |
| --- |
|   |

**3. Action Plan for Next Semester/Year**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Actions Recommended for Further Improvement | Intended Action Points (should be measurable) | StartDate | CompletionDate | Person Responsible |
| Continue the interactive approaches to enhance the learning of students.  | Case study implementation for SLO c improvement | Sep 2017  | Jan 2018 | Course Instructor |
| Text book should be changed.  | Control System Engineering by Norman S. Nise should be the text book | Sep 2017  | Jan 2018 | UPC |

**Course Instructor:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Dr. Muhammad Zubair |
| Signature: |  | Date Report Completed: | 22/05/2017 |

**Program Coordinator:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: |  |
| Signature: |  | Date Received: | 22/05/2017 |

**Important Notes:**

* A separate Course Report (CR) should be submitted for every course and for each (section " Male & Female" or Academic Programme or campus location where the course is taught) even if the course is taught by the same person
* Each CR is to be completed by the course instructor (Separate reports attached) and given to the program coordinator at the end of each course
* Course Reports are to discuss by the academic (Programme) Department Council