

# Program Quality Reviewing Report (Internal Review)

Academic department		Electrical En	ngineering	Progra	am Name	Electrical Engineering		
Year	2016-17	Semester	Fall	Date	18.10.2016	Time	01:00-01:30	

#### 1. Documentation Records

No	Item		Requirement		Observations	Recommendations
NC	NCAAA documents					
1	Program Specifications	■Yes □No	Approved document	■Yes □No	Dates are not uniform everywhere. At some places it is in Hijri and at others in Gregorian	
2	Course Specifications	■Yes □No	Approved document	■Yes □No		
			Approved document	■Yes □No	Date of Approval?	
3	Course Report	■Yes □No	Analysis and an action plan	□Yes □No	<ul> <li>No direct &amp; indirect assessments (statistical analysis)</li> <li>No date on cover page</li> </ul>	



					<ul><li>No recommendations</li><li>Empty most of the things</li></ul>	
			Approved document	■Yes □No		
4	Program Annual Report (For last two years)	■Yes □No	Analysis and an action plan	■Yes □No	<ul> <li>Old Format</li> <li>Improperly arranged</li> <li>Many empty pages</li> <li>No proposal</li> <li>No evaluation/ statistical analysis</li> </ul>	Should be properly filled in new format with analysis and proposals
5	Course File (For the last three years)	■Yes □No	Completed as hard and soft copies	■Yes □No	<ul> <li>No Contents</li> <li>No Partitions</li> <li>Contents repeated several times</li> <li>CLOs not up to the marks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contents should be pasted on inside cover page</li> <li>Partitions should be used</li> <li>Contents should be used only once</li> <li>Revise CLOs</li> </ul>
6	Program SSR	■Yes □No	Approved document	□Yes □No	<ul><li>NCAAA format not followed</li><li>Star analysis</li></ul>	



7	Self-Evaluation Scales for Higher Education Programs	□Yes □No	Approved document	□Yes □No	Empty Folder	
8	Organizational Chart of the program	□Yes □No	Approved document	□Yes □No	Not checked	
NCA	AAA Requirements					
1	Course Evaluation Survey	■Yes □No	Analysis and an action plan for last 2 years	□Yes ■No	Old Report	New Survey should be conducted
2	Program Evaluation Survey	Yes 🗆 No	Analysis and an action plan for last 2 years	■Yes □No		
3	Experience Evaluation Survey	Yes No	Analysis and an action plan for last 2 years	Yes No		
			At least two meetings with minutes	■Yes □No		
4	Advisory Board	Yes DNo	Critical issues for program as main topic of discussion	□Yes □No	No action plan after the meeting outcome	
5	KPIs and Rubrics for SLO	Yes 🗆 No	Defined and approved for all SLOs	Yes 🗆 No		
6	Program KPIs	■Yes □No	A benchmark	□Yes □No	Internal Bench Mark not defined	
			The measured KPIs for the last two years	■Yes □No		



					Y	
			and action plan with improvements			
			The KPIs of the current, target and benchmark	■Yes □No		
7	The consistency with NQF	■Yes □No	A report about the consistency of Program features and learning outcomes with the NQF	Yes 🗆 No		
ABE	ET Documents					
1	Faculty vitae	Yes ONo	Filled by all faculty members on the approved form	Yes 🗆 No		
2	Lab equipment	■Yes □No	Filled for all labs on the approved form	Yes 🗆 No		
3	Course Syllabus	Yes 🗆 No	Filled by all faculty members on the approved form	■Yes □No		
4	Faculty Report	□Yes □No	Filled by all Faculty members on the approved form	□Yes □ No	Folder was empty	



5	Documentation and archiving	Yes 🗆 No	Organized documentation	■Yes □No		
ABE	ET Requirements					
1			Evaluation of all SLO for the first semester	Yes 🗆 No		
	Direct assessment of	■Yes □No	Evaluation of all SLO for the second semester	Yes 🗆 No		
	program SLO		Summary of the results and action plan and discussion by the quality committee based on the faculty reports from the first semester	Yes ONo		
2	Indirect Assessment of program SLO	□Yes □No	Evaluation of all SLOs using Indirect assessment	□Yes □No	Folder was empty	
3	Exit Review	■Yes □No	Evaluation of all SLOs using Exit review	■Yes □No	<ul><li>Only one report of 2014</li><li>Date not mentioned</li></ul>	
Colle	ege Requirements					



1	Graduates Database	□Yes □No	Updated database	□Yes □No	Not checked	
2	Brochure of Department	□Yes □No	A brochure stating vision, mission, PEO, goals, course structure of department	□Yes □No		
			A report	□Yes □No	Empty Folder	
3	Follow-Up Table	□Yes □No	Recommendations	□Yes □No	Empty Folder	
			An action plan	□Yes □No	Empty Folder	

## 2. Website Updating Record

Wel	Checklist					
1	Vision/ Mission and Program Objectives	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked	
2	Organizational Chart	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked	
3	Faculty	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked	
4	Departmental Committees	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked	
5	Quality Committees	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked	
6	Course Syllabi	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked	



7	Course Reports	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked
8	Program Annual Report	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked
9	Research/ Projects	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked
10	Alumni	□Yes □No	Updated	□Yes □No	Not checked

## 3. Instructor Awareness:

Majmaah University has	Resp	onse	Comments
Vision and mission	Yes	□No	
Training Unit in Deanship for Quality and skills development (What workshops/seminars have you attended)	■ Yes	□No	
College of Engineering has			
vision/mission	■ Yes	□No	
Undergrad Catalog	Yes	□No	
Training Unit in the Vice-Deanship for Quality and Development	■ Yes	□No	
Academic Accreditation Unit under in the Vice-Deanship for Quality and Development	Yes Yes	□No	
Department has			
Vision/ Mission	■ Yes	□No	
Program Objectives/ Student Learning Outcome (SLO) (what are the SLOs?)	■ Yes	□No	



Undergrad Catalog	Yes	□No	
Board of Advisors	Yes	□No	
D2L System	Yes	□No	
Assessment Guide	☐ Yes	No No	No idea
Lab Equipment Manual for each lab	■ Yes	□ No	
Quality Committee	■ Yes	□No	
Curriculum Development Committee	■ Yes	□No	
continuous quality improvement process	■ Yes	□No	
A Course has			
Course Learning Outcome (CLO). (What are the CLOs of your	Yes	□No	
course, what are the SLOs assessed in your course)			
CLOs are assessed for each course using direct (exams, etc.) and indirect assessment (surveys, etc.). (How do you do the survey? When	Yes	□No	They have idea about CLO and assessment processes
do you do the assessments?)			
Syllabus that is published and given to student for each course.(What is	■ Yes	□No	They know what is included in the syllabus
included in the syllabus?)			They know what is included in the syllabus
The Instructor			
has academic Advising responsibilities	Yes	□No	
completes Course Report for each section	■ Yes	□No	
updates Course Portfolio	Yes	□No	

#### 4. Miscellaneous

Different Degrinements					
Different Requirements					1 3 4 5 1



1	Instructor's Time Table hanged on the door	Yes 🗆 No	Office Hours Contact details	Yes 🗆 No		
			Do you know the mission of the program?	□Yes □No	4/5 Yes	
		Are you participating in the quality work? (i.e., filling surveys, etc.)  Is there a syllabus for the courses?  Are you aware of the contents of the course in the syllabus?  Yes \sum No  4/5 Yes	the quality work? (i.e.,	Yes 🗆 No		
				Yes 🗆 No		
2	Student Awareness		4/5 Yes			
			Is the academic advising useful?	□Yes □No	LIVes LINO LIA Ves	Academic Advisors should take more active part
			Do you receive feedback on your academic work?	□Yes □No	1/5 Yes	The students should be given academic feedback

#### 5. Main Comments of reviewing process:

1	Many Folders were empty
2	Contents were not arranged properly in the Course Files, even repeated several times



3	Course Evaluation Survey Report was very old	
3	Course Evaluation Survey Report was very old	

#### 6. Recommendations

1	Fill all the empty folders with relevant documents	
2	Proper arrangement of contents in Course Files	
3	Include new Report on Course Evaluation Survey	

#### **Reviewing Committee Members:**

1- Dr. Syed M. Abbas

2- Dr. Sameh S. Ahmed

Report prepared by:	Dr. Syed M. Abbas; Dr. Sameh S. Ahmed	Approved by:	Dr. A. Almuhaisen
Date:	October 20, 2016	Date:	25/10/2016
Signature:	bree Mal Alman.	Signature:	- Aus

Copy to:

1- Dean of the college 1- Program Coordinator (Head of Department)

2- ADU